



# Dos and Don'ts in Computational Linguistics Conference Papers

Jean-Pierre Schwickerath

<http://schwicky.net/projects/2004/lsp/>

Darmstadt University of Technology  
Corpus-based Analysis of LSP, SS 2004  
Prof. Dr. Elke Teich, Dr. Sabine Bartsch

*July 2nd, 2004*



# Generic structure

---



1. Abstract
2. Introduction
3. State of the art
4. Main part
5. Conclusion and future work
6. References



# How to decide about it?



To find out the best way to organize a paper, one can:

- Use guidelines for reviewers,



# How to decide about it?



To find out the best way to organize a paper, one can:

- Use guidelines for reviewers,
- See what the market has to offer: Look at existing papers and extract information.



# Evaluation points (ACL)



- **well-structured:** clearly organized, has an initial overview, a final summary, structure is logical.



# Evaluation points (ACL)



- **well-structured**: clearly organized, has an initial overview, a final summary, structure is logical.
- **well-written**: good prose, reasonable sentence length, grammar at native-speaker level.



# Evaluation points (ACL)



- **well-structured**: clearly organized, has an initial overview, a final summary, structure is logical.
- **well-written**: good prose, reasonable sentence length, grammar at native-speaker level.
- **well-motivated**: identifies important problem(s) to tackle, other analytical options are discussed/rejected.



# Evaluation points (ACL)



- **well-structured**: clearly organized, has an initial overview, a final summary, structure is logical.
- **well-written**: good prose, reasonable sentence length, grammar at native-speaker level.
- **well-motivated**: identifies important problem(s) to tackle, other analytical options are discussed/rejected.
- **focused**: clear statement of goals, goals carried out, results evaluated in terms of goals.





# Evaluation points (ACL) (2)



- **situated**: notes relevant earlier work, relates own proposals to that work.



# Evaluation points (ACL) (2)



- **situated**: notes relevant earlier work, relates own proposals to that work.
- **exemplified**: formalism given detailed applications, applications are intuitive and well-explained.



# Evaluation points (ACL) (2)



- **situated**: notes relevant earlier work, relates own proposals to that work.
- **exemplified**: formalism given detailed applications, applications are intuitive and well-explained.
- **accessible**: technical terminology explained on first use, self-contained, careful development of new concepts.



# Evaluation points (ACL) (2)



- **situated**: notes relevant earlier work, relates own proposals to that work.
- **exemplified**: formalism given detailed applications, applications are intuitive and well-explained.
- **accessible**: technical terminology explained on first use, self-contained, careful development of new concepts.
- **evaluated**: evaluation methodology is explained clearly, novel techniques are adequately explained and justified, results are described in sufficient detail.



# Tenor: from expert to expert



- An expert writing for less experts — conference paper,



# Tenor: from expert to expert



- An expert writing for less experts — conference paper,
- An expert writing to a more expert — paper at university.



# Tenor: from expert to expert



- An expert writing for less experts — conference paper,
- An expert writing to a more expert — paper at university.
- Be precise and clear! Don't loose yourself in complex elaborations.



# Tenor: from expert to expert



- An expert writing for less experts — conference paper,
- An expert writing to a more expert — paper at university.
- Be precise and clear! Don't lose yourself in complex elaborations.
- Don't be too vague. Be sure to be original enough.





# Tenor: from expert to expert



- An expert writing for less experts — conference paper,
- An expert writing to a more expert — paper at university.
- Be precise and clear! Don't lose yourself in complex elaborations.
- Don't be too vague. Be sure to be original enough.
- It's a scientific paper: expressions from spoken language and novel prose should be avoided.



# Tenor: from expert to expert



- An expert writing for less experts — conference paper,
- An expert writing to a more expert — paper at university.
- Be precise and clear! Don't lose yourself in complex elaborations.
- Don't be too vague. Be sure to be original enough.
- It's a scientific paper: expressions from spoken language and novel prose should be avoided.
- The reader doesn't want to be taught by "know-it-alls".



# Advice for initial submission



- Abstracts: In engineering longer than in linguistics.



# Advice for initial submission



- Abstracts: In engineering longer than in linguistics.
- Take care of the correct content: what, why, how.



# Advice for initial submission



- Abstracts: In engineering longer than in linguistics.
- Take care of the correct content: what, why, how.
- ACL says: “Maximum length of full papers: 7 pages.”



# Advice for initial submission



- Abstracts: In engineering longer than in linguistics.
- Take care of the correct content: what, why, how.
- ACL says: “Maximum length of full papers: 7 pages.”
- Blind review: No name and no self references in the paper.



# Structure in detail



- **Introduction:** Why was this paper written? Short intro into the topic. What is the problem and the goal? Structure of the paper: 1–2 sentences per chapter.



# Structure in detail



- **Introduction:** Why was this paper written? Short intro into the topic. What is the problem and the goal? Structure of the paper: 1–2 sentences per chapter.
- **State of the art:** what are others doing? Context and boundary conditions.





# Structure in detail



- **Introduction:** Why was this paper written? Short intro into the topic. What is the problem and the goal? Structure of the paper: 1–2 sentences per chapter.
- **State of the art:** what are others doing? Context and boundary conditions.
- **Main part:** Recursive structure on chapter level: Introduction — Main Part — Conclusion.



# Structure in detail



- **Introduction:** Why was this paper written? Short intro into the topic. What is the problem and the goal? Structure of the paper: 1–2 sentences per chapter.
- **State of the art:** what are others doing? Context and boundary conditions.
- **Main part:** Recursive structure on chapter level: Introduction — Main Part — Conclusion.
  - Research papers: presentation of new results, possible applications, evaluation.



# Structure in detail



- **Introduction:** Why was this paper written? Short intro into the topic. What is the problem and the goal? Structure of the paper: 1–2 sentences per chapter.
- **State of the art:** what are others doing? Context and boundary conditions.
- **Main part:** Recursive structure on chapter level:  
Introduction — Main Part — Conclusion.
  - Research papers: presentation of new results, possible applications, evaluation.
  - Comparison of literature: presentation of the known work and comparing evaluation.



# Advice for the content



- Headings need to characterize content in a few words.



# Advice for the content



- Headings need to characterize content in a few words.
- A central theme needs to be discernible (roter Faden).  
Cross references should be avoided.



# Advice for the content



- Headings need to characterize content in a few words.
- A central theme needs to be discernible (roter Faden).  
Cross references should be avoided.
- Related ideas belong in a paragraph.



# Advice for the content



- Headings need to characterize content in a few words.
- A central theme needs to be discernible (roter Faden). Cross references should be avoided.
- Related ideas belong in a paragraph.
- One cannot say it often enough: be precise! Complex descriptions and relativizing adjectives should be avoided.



# Advice for the content



- Headings need to characterize content in a few words.
- A central theme needs to be discernible (roter Faden). Cross references should be avoided.
- Related ideas belong in a paragraph.
- One cannot say it often enough: be precise! Complex descriptions and relativizing adjectives should be avoided.
- Don't write about stuff which is irrelevant for your paper.





# Advice for the content



- Headings need to characterize content in a few words.
- A central theme needs to be discernible (roter Faden). Cross references should be avoided.
- Related ideas belong in a paragraph.
- One cannot say it often enough: be precise! Complex descriptions and relativizing adjectives should be avoided.
- Don't write about stuff which is irrelevant for your paper.
- Avoid too long sentences, they're hard to understand.



# Advice for the content (2)



- Every statement must be proved.



# Advice for the content (2)



- Every statement must be proved.
- When using a new term, you have to introduce it first.



# Advice for the content (2)



- Every statement must be proved.
- When using a new term, you have to introduce it first.
- Same things should always be called the same.



# Advice for the content (2)



- Every statement must be proved.
- When using a new term, you have to introduce it first.
- Same things should always be called the same.
- Usage of abbreviations can be useful.



# Advice for the content (2)



- Every statement must be proved.
- When using a new term, you have to introduce it first.
- Same things should always be called the same.
- Usage of abbreviations can be useful.
- Examples can help understanding.



# Advice for the content (2)



- Every statement must be proved.
- When using a new term, you have to introduce it first.
- Same things should always be called the same.
- Usage of abbreviations can be useful.
- Examples can help understanding.
- Long text passages are hard to read: use figures, lists, tables...



# Figures

---



- Figures need to be explained in the text.





# Figures

---



- Figures need to be explained in the text.
- When talking about a figure it should be included in the paper.



# Figures



- Figures need to be explained in the text.
- When talking about a figure it should be included in the paper.
- If a figure contains a line with arrows at both ends but the text only explains one direction then:

# Figures



- Figures need to be explained in the text.
- When talking about a figure it should be included in the paper.
- If a figure contains a line with arrows at both ends but the text only explains one direction then:
  - the text is incomplete,



# Figures



- Figures need to be explained in the text.
- When talking about a figure it should be included in the paper.
- If a figure contains a line with arrows at both ends but the text only explains one direction then:
  - the text is incomplete,
  - or the figure is wrong.



# Figures



- Figures need to be explained in the text.
- When talking about a figure it should be included in the paper.
- If a figure contains a line with arrows at both ends but the text only explains one direction then:
  - the text is incomplete,
  - or the figure is wrong.
- Watch copyright and reference the source of the figure.



# References

---



- Be sure to include every reference you used.



# References



- Be sure to include every reference you used.
- In the text, use names of the authors and year of publication. Optionally: pages. E.g. (Teich and Bartsch, 2004)

# References



- Be sure to include every reference you used.
- In the text, use names of the authors and year of publication. Optionally: pages. E.g. (Teich and Bartsch, 2004)
- In linguistics, the list is usually not numbered.



# References



- Be sure to include every reference you used.
- In the text, use names of the authors and year of publication. Optionally: pages. E.g. (Teich and Bartsch, 2004)
- In linguistics, the list is usually not numbered.
- Include enough information to allow the reader to find the documents.

# References



- Be sure to include every reference you used.
- In the text, use names of the authors and year of publication. Optionally: pages. E.g. (Teich and Bartsch, 2004)
- In linguistics, the list is usually not numbered.
- Include enough information to allow the reader to find the documents.
  - Include: Authors, year, title of publications. Pages and publisher.



# References



- Be sure to include every reference you used.
- In the text, use names of the authors and year of publication. Optionally: pages. E.g. (Teich and Bartsch, 2004)
- In linguistics, the list is usually not numbered.
- Include enough information to allow the reader to find the documents.
  - Include: Authors, year, title of publications. Pages and publisher.
  - Journal: number and volume.



# Thank you for your attention!



## References

- [1] ACL Guidelines for Reviewers
- [2] Style template for ACL papers
- [3] Various papers presented at ACL conferences  
[www.aclweb.org](http://www.aclweb.org)
- [4] Various IEEE papers [www.ieee.org](http://www.ieee.org)
- [5] Baumgart *et al.*, Hinweise zur Erstellung schriftlicher Arbeiten und deren Präsentation

